UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,820	05/12/2006	Henning Von Spreckelsen	44257.0004	7195
	7590 02/03/200 R J. KULISH, ESQ	EXAMINER		
HOLLAND & HART LLP			MCKINLEY, CHRISTOPHER BRIAN	
P. O. BOX 8749 DENVER, CO 80201-8749			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/595,820	VON SPRECKELSEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CHRISTOPHER B. MCKINLEY	3781		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> .  2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    Th  3)    Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 and 13-15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-8 and 13-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific part of	ccepted or b) objected to by the education of common or by the leading of the drawing of the dra	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/6/2008 & 11/17/2008.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/595,820 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "wherein the barrier foil is a coated aluminum foil that has an exposed aluminum cut edge *immediately prior to assembly in the*fitment" (emphasis added) in line 8. Claim 1 is drawn towards a fitment (a product) however this limitation appears to be drawn towards a method of making said product (a product by process or process of making). This is unclear. Is the claim drawn towards a product or a product by process?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawajiri et al. (4,948,015) in view of Yoshida (5,147,591). Kawajiri et al. discloses the limitations of the claims including a fitment (figs. 1-29) comprising a base flange (20),

Art Unit: 3781

spout (13a), removable part (15), overcap (13b), barrier foil (21, 22), aluminum (col. 4, lines 47-59) cut edge (upper circumferential edge of layer 22), wherein said foil is sealed to the base flange (fig. 4), tamper evident means (17, 18), barrier coated (col. 3, lines 52-63) paperboard carton (fig. 28) with pre-cut hole (11), wherein said fitment is inserted into said hole and injection molding (col. 6, line 61). Kawajiri et al. excludes what Yoshida teaches, a rolled edge (3b) in mating contact with a complementary member (4b) in order to secure two planar together. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Kawajiri et al. with the aforementioned structural feature to the barrier foil and, alternatively, the flange in order provide an enhanced, secure engagement between the two members.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the

Application/Control Number: 10/595,820

Art Unit: 3781

requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Page 4

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER B. MCKINLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-3370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 AM 5:30 PM.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,820 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

/C. B. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3781

> /Anthony D Stashick/ Anthony D Stashick Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781